

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,527	02/21/200	David P. Rossum	017002-003890US	9363	
20350	7590 02/	2/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WARREN	WARREN, DAVID S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summar	10/080,527	ROSSUM, DAVID P.				
- Inde Aletter Guillina	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this	David S. Warren	2837				
Period for Reply	munication appears on the cov r sheet w	with th correspondenc address				
Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704()	isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this um statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO reply will, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication				
Status 1) M. Dannelli in the state of the st						
1) Responsive to communication(s						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the property Disposition of Claims	ition for allowance except for formal ma ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 14-38 is/are pending in	the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res						
Application Papers	and and election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner						
If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this Office action.	,				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter	s of the priority documents have been r rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not re	received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 25 H 0.0 c	eceived.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	en received. S\$ 120 and/or 121				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	55 and/or 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paner No. 7				

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 14-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6137043 in view of Hanzawa (4667556). The patent to Rossum (6137043) shows (i.e., claims) the method and system of multichannel interpolative playback of digital waveform data samples stored in a waveform memory. The Rossum patent includes the following claimed limitations of the instant application (application number 10/080527); accessing waveform memory samples from memory; storing two or more waveform memory samples for each channel; linearly interpolating between two adjacent waveform memory samples; incrementing a current address for each channel; a shared bus coupling. The Rossum patent does not claim the method or system of operating a waveform memory asynchronously from an address update unit and interpolator, accessing a memory in burst mode, overwriting data in a memory that is no

Application/Control Number: 10/080,527

Art Unit: 2837

longer required, accessing via a bus request or bus acknowledge signal, determining whether the interpolator has control of the waveform memory, disabling memory address and control signals in response to bus acknowledge signals, nor using more significant bits for channel assignment and less significant bits for addressing a waveform memory. The patent to Hanzawa shows that CPU (11) timing is asynchronous to the timing of the tone source control unit (14) (col. 7, lines 15-18). The asynchronous timings of Hanzawa can be seen by comparing figs. 8 and 6 (note: φs, φw in both figures). Official notice is taken that burst-mode memory access is well known (see Piesinger reference cited below). Overwriting data into Hanzawa's RAM is inherent. Hanzawa shows the use of accessing data from memory via bus request/acknowledge signals (i.e., a BUSY signal, col. 7, line 28). Hanzawa shows that bus (RD) serves as a path for waveform data to the interpolation circuit (146), determining whether the interpolator had control is inherent since any bus transfer of data would need to be "controlled" by appropriate I/O components. Hanzawa shows the use of disabling memory access (via command control circuit 142a) in response to data on bus IDB (col. 7, lines 29-33). As shown in figure 8 (row 9), Hanzawa shows using less significant bits for channel assignment and more significant bits (U8BIT) for pitch information. Using the more significant bits for addressing is shown in column 7, first paragraph where the Pitch Write command requires an address and is controlled by "upper 8-bit data."

Application/Control Number: 10/080,527

Art Unit: 2837

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The patent to Piesinger shows the use of memory access in a

burst mode (col. 6, lines 1 and 2).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David S. Warren whose telephone number is 703-308-

5234. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318

for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

dsw

February 5, 2003

SUPERVISORY PAGENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY GENTER 2800

Page 4